Amendments to the Claims

 (currently amended) A method of analyzing a wafer mask manufacturing process, the method comprising:

imaging at least a portion of a mask to be used in a wafer structure formation process;

simulating lithographic processing using data received from or derived from the imaging of the portion of the mask, thereby obtaining a <u>first</u> simulated wafer structure;

simulating lithographic processing using mask design data corresponding to the imaged portion of the mask as an input, thereby obtaining a second simulated wafer structure; and

evaluating the portion of the mask by comparing the first simulated wafer structure to a resulting the second simulated wafer structure, wherein the resulting wafer structure is an ideal-target layer structure on a wafer and

based on the comparing step, evaluating critical dimension variations across the wafer structure.

- 2. (cancelled)
- 3. (cancelled)
- 4. (currently amended) A The method of claim 3, analyzing a mask manufacturing process, the method comprising:

imaging at least a portion of a mask to be used in a wafer structure formation process:

simulating lithographic processing using data received from or derived from the imaging of the portion of the mask, thereby obtaining a first simulated wafer structure;

simulating lithographic processing using mask design data corresponding to the imaged portion of the mask as an input, thereby obtaining a second simulated wafer structure:

providing a user an option of selecting a figure of merit (FOM) by which critical dimension variations between the <u>first and second</u> simulated wafer structures are to be calculated; <u>and</u>

calculating critical dimension variations between the first and second simulated wafer structures based on the user-selected FOM.

- 5. (original) The method of claim 4, wherein the FOM is a line width.
- 6. (previously presented) The method of claim 4, wherein the FOM is a percentage difference in overall area of the first and second simulated structures.
- 7. (currently amended) The method of claim <u>1</u> 3, where first and second simulated wafer structures are obtained by the same simulation method.
- 8. (currently amended) The method of claim <u>1</u> 3, where first and second simulated wafer structures are obtained by aerial image simulation.
- 9. (currently amended) The method of claim 13, where first and second simulated wafer structures are obtained by different simulation methods.
- 10. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further comprising displaying the <u>first</u> simulated wafer structure on a display screen.
- 11. (currently amended) The method of claim 10, further comprising displaying a the second simulated wafer structure on the display screen, wherein the <u>first and</u> second simulated wafer structures at least partially overlap with one another.
- 12. (original) The method of claim 11, providing a user an option of selecting a figure of merit (FOM) by which critical dimension variations between the simulated wafer structures are to be calculated.

- 13. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein the imaging includes using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) to obtain an SEM image.
- 14. (original) The method of claim 13, further comprising transforming the SEM image into computer-readable data.
- 15. (original) The method of claim 14, wherein the transforming includes applying an image analysis algorithm to the image data.
 - 16. (original) The method of claim 14, further comprising scaling the data.
- 17 (original) The method of claim 1, further comprising transforming data of a first type, obtained in the imaging, into data of a second type, to be used in the simulating.
- 18. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein the simulating includes aerial simulation using a computer program.
- (original) The method of claim 18, wherein the simulating also includes simulating the developed resist image.
- 20. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein the simulating includes simulating using an aerial image microscope system.
 - 21. (cancelled)
- 22. (currently amended) The method of claim 1 2, wherein design data of a desired wafer structure is compared with at least one of the <u>first</u> simulated wafer structure and the second simulated wafer structure.
- 23. (currently amended) The method of claim 11, further comprising displaying at least one ideal wafer structure and an actual wafer structure, and

comparing the <u>first</u> simulated wafer structure, the second simulated wafer structure, and at least one of the ideal wafer structure and the actual wafer structure with one another or with a reference wafer structure.

- 24. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the <u>further comprising</u> <u>forming at least one of the first and second</u> simulated wafer structures is <u>formed</u> at various stages of the wafer structure formation process, <u>and overlaying all of the simulated wafer structures on a display screen</u>.
- 25. (new) The method of claim 1, further comprising comparing the first and second simulated wafer structures to an ideal wafer structure.
- 26. (new) The method of claim 1, further comprising determining a location of greatest critical dimension variation between the first and second simulated wafer structures.
- 27. (new) A method of analyzing critical dimension variations caused by a mask manufacturing process, the method comprising:

imaging at least a portion of a mask to be used in a wafer structure formation process the mask being formed by the mask manufacturing process;

simulating lithographic processing using data received from or derived from the imaging of the portion of the mask, thereby obtaining a first simulated wafer structure;

simulating lithographic processing using mask design data corresponding to the imaged portion of the mask as an input, thereby obtaining a second simulated wafer structure; and

comparing the first and second simulated wafer structures based on a user-selected figure of merit (FOM).